	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability			
	10/652,622 Examiner	NI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Artonic	
	Richard Schnizer, Ph. D.	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in thi or other appropriate communic IGHTS. This application is subj	s application. If not included ation will be mailed in due co	l ourse. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 7/18/08.			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,2,9-13,15-17,19-31,35-40,53</u>	,55-62,65-71,74-77 and 84-112		
 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 	be been received. be been received in Application N	o	on from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		3 11	
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		eply complying with the requ	irements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			TICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948) attached	
1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in t	he Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			eack) of
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			ote the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 ☐ Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application	
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Sumr	• •	
	Paper No./Mai	l Date	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date <u>7/18/08</u> 	7. 🛛 Examiner's Am	enament/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material		tement of Reasons for Allow	ance
	9. Other		
/Richard Schnizer, Ph. D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635			

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Christopher Curfman on 10/23/08.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claims 1, 53, and 111, delete "0.25%" and substitute --0.01%-- therefor.

In claim 111, substitute --a-- for "an" immediately before "particle".

Cancel claims 41 and 78.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The previous rejections under 35 USC 103 (action of 1/23/08) are withdrawn for the following reasons. The rejections depended on the following facts: Watts taught that pectins, alginates, and other carbohydrates could be used to make powdered microspheres for drug delivery, Baichwal taught dry, carbohydrate-based microparticles for drug release after inhalation similar to those of Watts, and of the size required by the instant claims, and Ni taught aloe pectin with the characteristics required by the claims. Because pectins were known to be useful for drug delivery in dry form, a rejection based on obviousness of equivalents was made (the pectin of Ni being considered equivalent to

the carbohydrates of Baichwal in view of the teachings of Watts), because the result of successful drug delivery would have been predictable to those of ordinary skill in the art. However, as argued by Applicant, the result of in situ gel formation, which is commensurate in scope with the claims, would not have been predictable to those of ordinary skill in the prior art. Further, this characteristic would reasonably be expected to affect drug delivery by prolonging it and by stabilizing the drug in situ while it remained in the gel. These secondary considerations are considered to render the selection of the aloe pectin of Ni non-obvious.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner(s) should be directed to Richard Schnizer, whose telephone number is 571-272-0762. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between the hours of 6:00 AM and 3:30. The examiner is off on alternate Fridays, but is sometimes in the office anyway.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz, can be reached at (571) 272-0763. The official

Application/Control Number: 10/652,622 Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

central fax number is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

/Richard Schnizer, Ph. D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635